



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,619	12/20/2000	Yusuke Kimata	Q62422	4741

7590 01/15/2003

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2643

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/739,619

Applicant(s)
Yusuke Kimata et al.

Examiner
Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

Art Unit
2643



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

(3) _____

(2) David A. Sumy

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 13, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1 was discussed

Identification of prior art discussed:

Hiroaki reference was discussed with respect to claim 1

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative argued about Hiroaki's teaching regarding means for guiding the operator's line of sight toward the imaging portion (one of the limitations of claim 1). The relevant portion of Hiroaki reference that bears on this is (col. 16 lines 16-21, and fig. 13)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Melur. Ramakrishnaiah
Examiner's signature, if required